RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

Application No.: 10/571,317

REMARKS

Claims 9-15 are rejected, and claims 1-8 and 16-22 are withdrawn from consideration as

being directed to a non-elected invention. Review and reconsideration on the merits are

requested.

Claims 9 and 12-14 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the

alternative, under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over U.S. Patent 6,150,426 to Curtin et al.

Curtin et al was cited as disclosing copolymers of tetrafluoroethylene (TFE) and a

perfluorinated vinyl ether CF₂=CF-O-CF₂CF₂SO₂F (B). Although acknowledging that Curtin et

al does not teach the ratio of IR peaks as recited in claim 1, because the polymers disclosed by

Curtin et al do not have carboxyl groups, the Examiner considered that the intensity ratio

between the carboxyl group IR peak and the CF₂ IR peak would be 0 (citing col. 6, lines 49-65

and col. 12, lines 43-50). Alternatively, the Examiner considered that the claimed ratio of IR

peaks would obviously have been present in the fluoropolymer of Curtin et al.

Applicants traverse, and respectfully request the Examiner to reconsider for the following

reasons.

In accordance with the method for producing a stabilized fluoropolymer of the invention,

a treatment target substance containing a sulfonic-acid-derived-group-containing fluoropolymer

is subjected to fluorination treatment, which treatment target substance has a moisture content of

not higher than 500 ppm by mass (see claim 1). More particularly, in a stabilized fluoropolymer

B of the invention (i.e., a stabilized fluoropolymer obtained via polymerization of an acid-

derived-group-containing perhalovinyl ether represented by general formula (II) and TFE), the

stabilized fluoropolymer shows an intensity ratio [x/y] between the carboxyl group-due peak [x]

and the -CF₂- group-due peak [y] of not higher than 0.05 in an IR measurement. That is, in the

2

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

Application No.: 10/571,317

stabilized fluoropolymer B, the carboxyl groups [-COOH] are formed mainly as polymer chain terminal groups, and the -CF₂- groups occur mainly in the polymer main chain (specification bridging pages 20-21).

Thus, Applicants respectfully disagree that the polymers taught by Curtin et al would not have carboxyl groups.

As noted above, the stabilized fluoropolymer of claim 9 shows an intensity ratio [x/y] between carboxyl group-due peak [x] and -CF₂- due peak [y] of not higher than 0.05 in IR measurement. Thus, the present invention exhibits high stability. The following data is taken from the working examples of the specification. Stability testing with Fenton's reagent is described bridging pages 41-42 of the specification.

	[x/y]	Decoloration	Fenton
Example 1	0.03	-	5 ppm
Example 2	0.03	-	5 ppm
Example 4	N.D.		5 ppm
Comp. Ex. 1	0.14	+	
Comp. Ex. 2			20 ppm

Notably, in Example 1, the fluoropolymer A was dried to a moisture content of 200 ppm by mass and then subjected to fluorination treatment to obtain stabilized fluoropolymer B. In Comparative Example 1, the fluoropolymer A obtained in Example 1 was allowed to stand in the air for two (2) days and the moisture content was then measured and found to be 700 ppm. This polymer was fluorinated in the same manner as in Example 1 to give a fluoropolymer C. As shown in the Table above, the [x/y] intensity ratio of Comparative Example 1 was nearly five (5) times greater than that of the *stabilized* fluoropolymer of Example 1. Thus, simply preparing a

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

Application No.: 10/571,317

copolymer of tetrafluoroethylene (TFE) and a perfluorinated vinyl ether as disclosed by Curtin et

al in no manner is a disclosure of a stabilized fluoropolymer of claim 9 having an intensity ratio

[x/y] of not higher than 0.05 in IR measurement.

Curtin et al discloses "the solid compositions, including the compositions obtained upon

drying preferred liquid compositions in accordance with the invention, are preferably

substantially free of components containing carbonyl bonds as determined by reflectance

infrared spectroscopy." (Col. 6, lines 49-53). That is, Curtin et al does not disclose a stabilized

fluoropolymer (as required by present claim 9), and also does not consider or examine stability

or instability of end groups.

For the above reasons, it is respectfully submitted that claims 9 and 12-14 are neither

anticipated nor obvious over Curtin et al, and withdrawal of the foregoing rejection is

respectfully requested.

Claims 10 and 11 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Curtin et al in view of U.S. Patent 3,085,083 to Schreyer. The reason for rejection was that it

would have been obvious to modify the fluoropolymer of Curtin et al with the number of

fluorinated methyl end-groups as taught by Schreyer because Curtin et al was said to teach both

fluorinated polymers with no C-H bonds and low equivalent weights whereas Schreyer was said

to teach that additional fluorinated methyl end-groups add stability to give improved corrosion

resistance.

Applicants traverse, and respectfully request the Examiner to reconsider for the following

reasons.

4

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

Application No.: 10/571,317

In a hydrolyzate of the stabilized fluoropolymer of claim 10, the number [X] of main chain terminal -CF₃ groups per 1 x 10^5 main chain carbon atoms of the hydrolyzate is not smaller than 10.

On the other hand, neither Curtin et al nor Schreyer discloses stabilized fluoropolymers having the end groups of -CF₃.

Curtin et al discloses "Polymers for use in accordance with the present invention are highly fluorinated ion-exchange polymers having sulfonate functional groups. 'Highly fluorinated' means that at least 90% of the total number of halogen and hydrogen atoms in the polymer are fluorine atoms." (col. 3, lines 49-51.)

Curtin et al also disclose "Preferred compositions in accordance with the invention are also free of C-H bonds, i.e., no bands 2800-3000 cm⁻¹ occur in reflectance infrared spectroscopy, unless they are present in the polymer molecule, e.g., unfluorinated sites or quaternary amine cation associated with the -SOs - group." (Col. 6, lines 60-65.)

Namely, Curtin et al discloses a (per) fluoropolymer substituted - CF₂- for -CH₂- in the main chain.

Schreyer only discloses a fluoropolymer having the end groups of -CF₂H.

Thus, the combination of Curtin et al and Schreyer does not disclose all of the limitations of claim 10 and therefore does not teach the invention of claim 10. Withdrawal of the foregoing rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) is respectfully requested.

Claim 15 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Curtin et al in view of WO 02/096983 A1.

Applicants rely on the response above with respect to the rejection of claims 9 and 12-14 over Curtin et al alone. WO '983 does not make up for the deficiencies of Curtin et al.

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111 Attorney Docket No.: Q93199

Application No.: 10/571,317

Withdrawal of all rejections and allowance of claims 9-15 is earnestly solicited.

In the event that the Examiner believes that it may be helpful to advance the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the local Washington, D.C. telephone number indicated below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

Abraham J. Rosner Registration No. 33,276

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC Telephone: (202) 293-7060

Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373
CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: May 27, 2009